

Korrekte Software: Grundlagen und Methoden

Vorlesung 10 vom 12.06.17: Verifikationsbedingungen Revisited

Serge Autexier, Christoph Lüth

Universität Bremen

Sommersemester 2017

14:07:21 2017-07-03

1 [14]



Fahrplan

- Einführung
- Die Floyd-Hoare-Logik
- Operationale Semantik
- Denotationale Semantik
- Äquivalenz der Operationalen und Denotationalen Semantik
- Korrektheit des Hoare-Kalküls
- Vorwärts und Rückwärts mit Floyd und Hoare
- Funktionen und Prozeduren
- Referenzen und Speichermodelle
- Verifikationsbedingungen Revisited
- Vorwärtsrechnung Revisited
- Programmsicherheit und Frame Conditions
- Ausblick und Rückblick

Korrekte Software

2 [14]



Heute

- Der Hoare-Kalkül ist viel Schreibarbeit
- Deshalb haben wir Verifikationsbedingungen berechnet:
 - ▶ Approximative schwächste Vorbedingung
 - ▶ Approximative stärkste Nachbedingung
- Mit Zeigern ist rückwärts nicht das beste ...

Korrekte Software

3 [14]



Formal: Konversion in Zustandsprädikate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-)^\dagger : \mathbf{Lexp} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Lexp} & (-)^\# : \mathbf{Aexp} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Aexp} \\
 v^\dagger = v & \quad (v \text{ Variable}) & e^\# = \text{read}(\sigma, e^\dagger) & \quad (e \in \mathbf{Lexp}) \\
 I.id^\dagger = I^\dagger.id & & n^\# = n & \\
 I[e]^\dagger = I^\dagger[e^\#] & & v^\# = v & \quad (v \text{ logische Variable}) \\
 *I^\dagger = I^\# & & \&e^\# = e^\dagger & \\
 & & e_1 + e_2^\# = e_1^\# + e_2^\# & \\
 & & \backslash\text{result}^\# = \backslash\text{result} & \\
 & & \backslash\text{old}(e)^\# = \text{old}(e) &
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \{ Q[\text{upd}(\sigma, x^\dagger, e^\#)/\sigma] \} x = e \{ Q|R \}$$

Korrekte Software

4 [14]



Approximative schwächste Vorbedingung

- Für die Berechnung der approximativen schwächsten Vorbedingung (AWP) und der Verifikationsbedingungen (WVC) müssen zwei Anpassungen vorgenommen werden:
 - ▶ Sowohl AWP als auch WVC berechnen symbolische Zustandsprädikate.
 - ▶ Die Zuweisungsregel muss angepasst werden.
- Berechnung von **awp** und **wvc**:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{awp}(\Gamma, f(x_1, \dots, x_n) / \text{pre } P \text{ post } Q) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{awp}(\Gamma', blk, Q^\#, Q^\#) \\
 \text{wvc}(\Gamma, f(x_1, \dots, x_n) / \text{pre } P \text{ post } Q) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{awp}(\Gamma', blk, Q^\#, Q^\#)[e_j^\# / \backslash\text{old}(e_j)] \\
 &\quad \cup \text{wvc}(\Gamma', blk, Q^\#, Q^\#) \\
 \Gamma' &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma[f \mapsto \forall x_1, \dots, x_n. (P, Q)]
 \end{aligned}$$

Korrekte Software

5 [14]



Approximative schwächste Vorbedingung (Revisited)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{awp}(\Gamma, \{ \}, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q \\
 \text{awp}(\Gamma, I = f(e_1, \dots, e_n), Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P[e_i/x_i]^\# \\
 &\quad \text{mit } \Gamma(f) = \forall x_1, \dots, x_n. (P, R) \\
 \text{awp}(\Gamma, f(e_1, \dots, e_n), Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P[e_i/x_i]^\# \\
 &\quad \text{mit } \Gamma(f) = \forall x_1, \dots, x_n. (P, R) \\
 \text{awp}(\Gamma, I = e, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q[\text{upd}(\sigma, I^\dagger, e^\#)/\sigma] \\
 \text{awp}(\Gamma, \{ c \ c_s \}, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{awp}(\Gamma, c, \text{awp}(\{ c_s \}, Q, Q_R), Q_R) \\
 \text{awp}(\Gamma, \text{if } (b) \{ c_0 \} \text{ else } c_1, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b^\# \&& \text{awp}(\Gamma, c_0, Q, Q_R)) \\
 &\quad || (! b^\# \&& \text{awp}(\Gamma, c_1, Q, Q_R)) \\
 \text{awp}(\Gamma, \text{while } (b) \text{ /* inv } i */ , Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q \\
 &\quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{while } (b) \\ \text{/* inv } i */ \\ c \end{array} \right], Q, Q_R &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i \\
 \text{awp}(\Gamma, \text{return } e, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_R[e^\# / \backslash\text{result}] \\
 \text{awp}(\Gamma, \text{return } Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_R
 \end{aligned}$$

Korrekte Software

6 [14]



Approximative Verifikationsbedingungen (Revisited)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{wvc}(\Gamma, \{ \}, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset \\
 \text{wvc}(\Gamma, x = e, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset \\
 \text{wvc}(\Gamma, x = f(e_1, \dots, e_n), Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ (R[e_i/x_i])[x / \backslash\text{result}] \}^\# \implies Q \\
 &\quad \text{mit } \Gamma(f) = \forall x_1, \dots, x_n. (P, R) \\
 \text{wvc}(\Gamma, f(e_1, \dots, e_n), Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ (R[e_i/x_i])^\# \} \implies Q \\
 &\quad \text{mit } \Gamma(f) = \forall x_1, \dots, x_n. (P, R) \\
 \text{wvc}(\Gamma, \{ c \ c_s \}, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{wvc}(\Gamma, c, \text{awp}(\{ c_s \}, Q, Q_R), Q_R) \\
 &\quad \cup \text{wvc}(\Gamma, \{ c_s \}, Q, Q_R) \\
 \text{wvc}(\Gamma, \text{if } (b) \{ c_0 \} \text{ else } c_1, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{wvc}(\Gamma, c_0, Q, Q_R) \\
 &\quad \cup \text{wvc}(\Gamma, c_1, Q, Q_R) \\
 \text{wvc}(\Gamma, \text{/* } \{ q \} \text{ */}, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ q \implies Q \} \\
 \text{wvc}(\Gamma, \text{while } (b) \text{ /* inv } i */ , Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{wvc}(\Gamma, c, i, Q_R) \\
 &\quad \cup \{ i \wedge b^\# \implies \text{awp}(\Gamma, c, i, Q_R) \} \\
 &\quad \cup \{ i \wedge \neg b^\# \implies Q \} \\
 \text{wvc}(\Gamma, \text{return } e, Q, Q_R) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset
 \end{aligned}$$

Korrekte Software

7 [14]



Beispiel: swap

```

void swap (int *x, int *y)
/* pre \valid(*x);
   pre \valid(*x); */
/* post \old(*x) == *y
   && \old(*y) == *x; */
{
  int z;
  z = *x;
  *x = *y;
  *y = z;
}

```

Korrekte Software

8 [14]



swap I

```

void swap (int *x, int *y)
/* pre \valid(*x);
   pre \valid(*y);
/* post \old(*x) == *y
   && \old(*y) == x; */
{ int z;
  z= *x;
  *x= *y;
  *y= z;
}

G = [swap |--> forall x, y. (P = \valid(*x) && \valid(*y),
  Q = \old(*x) == *y && \old(*y) == x)]
Q# = { \old(*x) == read(s, read(s, x)) && \old(*y) == read(s, read(s, y))}

*****  

(A) awp(G, *y = z, Q#, Q#)
= { let s3=upd(s2, read(s2, y), read(s2, z));
  in \old(*x) == read(s3, read(s3, y)) && \old(*y) == read(s3, read(s3, x)) }
Da: read(s3, y) = read(upd(s2, read(s2, y), read(s2, z)), y)
Also: read(s3, read(s3, y)) = read(s3, read(s2, y)) = read(s2, z)

(A.1) awp(G, *y = z, Q#, Q#)
= { let s2=upd(s, read(s2, y), read(s2, z));
  in \old(*x) == read(s3, read(s3, y)) && \old(*y) == read(s3, read(s3, x)) }
Da: read(s3, y) = read(upd(s2, read(s2, y), read(s2, z)), y)
Also: read(s3, read(s3, y)) = read(s3, read(s2, y)) = read(s2, z)

```

Korrekte Software

9 [14]



swap II

```

= { let s3=upd(s2, read(s2, y), read(s2, z));
  in \old(*x) == read(s3, read(s3, y)) }
= Q1

(A.2) awp(G, *x = ey, Q1, Q#)
= { let s2=upd(s1, read(s1, x), read(s1, read(s1, y)));
  in \old(*x) == read(s2, z) && \old(*y) == read(s3, read(s3, x)) }
Da: read(s3, x) = read(s2, x) // x:=read(s2, y)
read(s2, x) = read(s1, x) // x:=read(s1, x)
read(s2, z) = read(s1, z) // z:=read(s1, x)

= { let s2=upd(s1, read(s1, x), read(s1, read(s1, y)));
  in \old(*x) == read(s2, z) && \old(*y) == read(s3, read(s1, x)) }
= Q2

(A.3) awp(G, z = *, Q2, Q#)
= { let s1=upd(s, z, read(s, read(s, x)));
  in \old(*x) == read(s1, read(s1, y));
  in \old(*y) == read(s2, read(s2, z));
  in \old(*z) == read(s3, read(s3, x)) && \old(*y) == read(s3, read(s1, x)) }
Da: read(s1, z) = read(s, read(s, x))
= { let s1=upd(s, z, read(s, read(s, x)));
  in \old(*x) == read(s1, read(s1, y));
  in \old(*y) == read(s2, read(s2, z));
  in \old(*z) == read(s3, read(s3, x)) && \old(*y) == read(s3, read(s1, x)) }
Es gilt: read(s2, read(s1, x)) = read(s1, read(s1, x))

*****  

(B) wvc(G, swap) =

```

Korrekte Software

10 [14]



swap III

```

** Fallunterscheidung **

1) read(s1, y) != read(s1, x):
Dann: read(s3, read(s1, x)) = read(s2, read(s1, x))
Also: read(s2, read(s1, x)) = read(s1, read(s1, y))
Folgt:
= { let s1=upd(s, z, read(s, read(s, x)));
  in s2=upd(s1, read(s1, x), read(s1, read(s1, y)));
  in s3=upd(s2, read(s2, y), read(s2, z));
  in \old(*x) == read(s, read(s, x)) && \old(*y) == read(s1, read(s1, y)) }
Da ausserdem: read(s1, y) = (lokale Variable sind von aussen nicht sichtbar)
Folgt:
= { let s1=upd(s, z, read(s, read(s, x)));
  in s2=upd(s1, read(s1, x), read(s1, read(s1, y)));
  in s3=upd(s2, read(s2, y), read(s2, z));
  in \old(*x) == read(s, read(s, x)) && \old(*y) == read(s, read(s, y)) }

2) read(s1, y) == read(s1, x):
Dann war auch: read(s, y) == read(s, x):
Dann: read(s2, read(s2, y)) = read(s1, read(s1, y))
Dann: read(s3, read(s1, x)) = read(s2, z)
  = read(s, read(s, x))
  = read(s, read(s, y))
Folgt (auch wie in 1)
= { let s1=upd(s, z, read(s, read(s, x)));
  in s2=upd(s1, read(s1, x), read(s1, read(s1, y)));
  in s3=upd(s2, read(s2, y), read(s2, z));
  in \old(*x) == read(s, read(s, x)) && \old(*y) == read(s, read(s, y)) }

*****  

(B) wvc(G, swap) =

```

Korrekte Software

11 [14]



swap IV

```

{ P# ==> awp(G, z == x; x == y; y == z, Q#, Q#[e_i/\old(e_i)] ) }
U wvc(G, z == x; x == y; y == z, Q#, Q#)
= { P# ==> (\old(*x) == read(s, read(s, x)) && \old(*y) == read(s, read(s, y))) }
  [read(s, read(s, x))/\old(*x), read(s, read(s, y))/\old(*y)] )
U wvc(G, z == x; x == y; y == z, Q#, Q#)
= { P# ==> (read(s, read(s, x)) == read(s, read(s, x)) &&
  read(s, read(s, y)) == read(s, read(s, y)) ) }
U wvc(G, z == x; x == y; y == z, Q#, Q#)
= { True } U wvc(G, z == x; x == y; y == z, Q#, Q#)
(Aus B.2 folgt)
= { True }

(B.1) P# = (\valid(*x) && \valid(*y)#
  = \valid(read(s, read(s, x))) && \valid(read(s, read(s, y)))
(B.2) wvc(G, z == x; x == y; y == z, Q#, Q#)
= U wvc(G, z == x, awp(G, x == y; y == z, Q#, Q#))
U wvc(G, *x = ey, awp(G, *y = z, Q#, Q#))
U wvc(G, *y = z, awp(G, {}, Q#, Q#))
= wvc(G, z == x, awp(G, x == y; y == z, Q#, Q#)) [A.2]
U wvc(G, *x = ey, awp(G, *y = z, Q#, Q#)) [A.1]
U wvc(G, *y = z, Q#)
U {}

Durch (A.1), (A.2)
= wvc(G, z == x, Q2)
U wvc(G, *x = ey, Q1)
U wvc(G, *y = z, Q#)
= {}

*****  

(B) wvc(G, swap) =

```

Korrekte Software

12 [14]



Beispiel: findmax revisited

```

#include <limits.h>

int findmax(int a[], int a_len)
/* pre \array(a, a_len); */
/* post \forall i; 0 <= i && i < a_len
   --> a[i] <= \result; */
{
  int x; int j;

  x= INT_MIN; j= 0;
  while (j < a_len)
    /* /\** */ inv \forall i; 0 <= i && i < j --> a[i] <= x && j <= 10; */
    {
      if (a[j] > x) x= a[j];
      j= j+1;
    }
  return x;
}

```

Korrekte Software

13 [14]



Fazit

- ▶ Der Hoare-Kalkül ist viel Schreibarbeit
- ▶ Deshalb haben wir Verifikationsbedingungen berechnet:
 - ▶ Approximative schwächste Vorbedingung
 - ▶ Als nächstes: Approximative stärkste Nachbedingung

Korrekte Software

14 [14]

