

Systeme hoher Sicherheit und Qualität Universität Bremen, WS 2017/2018

Lecture 07:



Testing

Christoph Lüth, Dieter Hutter, Jan Peleska



Where are we?

- 01: Concepts of Quality
- 02: Legal Requirements: Norms and Standards
- 03: The Software Development Process
- 04: Hazard Analysis
- 05: High-Level Design with SysML
- 06: Formal Modelling with OCL
- 07: Testing
- 08: Static Program Analysis
- 09-10: Software Verification
- 11-12: Model Checking
- 13: Conclusions





Testing in the Development Cycle





What is Testing?

Testing is the process of executing a program or system with the intent of finding errors.

G.J. Myers, 1979

- ► In our sense, testing is selected, controlled program execution
- ► The **aim** of testing is to detect bugs, such as
 - derivation of occurring characteristics of quality properties compared to the specified ones
 - inconsistency between specification and implementation
 - structural features of a program that cause a faulty behavior of a program

Program testing can be used to show the presence of bugs, but never to show their absence.

E.W. Dijkstra, 1972





The Testing Process

► Test cases, test plan, etc.

- System-under-test (s.u.t.) (cf. TOE in CC)
- ► Warning -- test literature is quite expansive

Testing is any activity aimed at evaluating an attribute or capability of a program or system and determining that it meets its required results.

Hetzel, 1983





Test Levels

Component and unit tests

test at the interface level of single components (modules, classes)

Integration test

testing interfaces of components fit together

System test

functional and non-functional test of the complete system from the user's perspective

Acceptance test

testing if system implements contract details



Test Methods

- Static vs. dynamic
 - With static tests, the code is analyzed without being run. We cover these methods as static program analysis later
 - With dynamic tests, we run the code under controlled conditions, and check the results against a given specification
- Central question: where do the test cases come from?
 - Black-box: the inner structure of the s.u.t. is opaque, test cases are derived from specification only.
 - Grey-box: some inner structure of the s.u.t. is known, e.g. module architecture.
 - White-box: the inner structure of the s.u.t. is known, and tests cases are derived from the source code.



Black-Box Tests

- Limit analysis:
 - If the specification limits input parameters, then values close to these limits should be chosen
 - Idea is that programs behave continuously, and errors occur at these limits
- Equivalence classes:
 - If the input parameter values can be decomposed into classes which are treated equivalently, test cases have to cover all classes
- Smoke test:
 - "Run it, and check it does not go up in smoke."



Example: Black-Box Testing

Equivalence classes or limits?

Example: A Company Bonus System

The loyalty bonus shall be computed depending on the time of employment. For employees of more than three years, it shall be 50% of the monthly salary, for employees of more than five years, 75%, and for employees of more than eight years, it shall be 100%.

Equivalence classes or limits?

Example: Air Bag

The air bag shall be released if the vertical acceleration a_v equals or exceeds 15 $m/_{s^2}$. The vertical acceleration will never be less than zero, or more than 40 $m/_{s^2}$.



Black-Box Tests

Quite typical for GUI tests, or functional testing

- Testing invalid input: depends on programming language the stronger the typing, the less testing for invalid input is required
 - Example: consider lists in C, Java, Haskell
 - Example: consider object-relational mappings¹ (ORM) in Python, Java

1) Translating e.g. SQL-entries to objects



Property- based Testing

- In property-based testing (or random testing), we generate random input values, and check the results against a given executable specification.
- Attention needs to be paid to the **distribution** values.
- Works better with high-level languages, where the datatypes represent more information on an abstract level and where the language is powerful enough to write comprehensive executable specifications (i.e. Boolean expressions).
 - Implementations for e.g. Haskell, Scala, Java
- Example: consider list reversal in C, Java, Haskell
 - Executable spec: reversal is idempotent and distributes over concatenation.
 - Question: how to generate random lists?



White-Box Tests

In white-box tests, we derive test cases based on the structure of the program (structural testing)

To abstract from the source code (which is a purely syntactic artefact), we consider the control flow graph of the program.

Def: Control Flow Graph (CFG)

- nodes as elementary statements (e.g. assignments, return, break, . . .), as well as control expressions (e.g. in conditionals and loops), and
- vertices from n to m if the control flow can reach a node m coming from a node n.

Hence, paths in the CFG correspond to runs of the program.



Example: Control-Flow Graph

if (x < 0) /*1*/ { x:= - x /*2*/ } z = 1; /*3*/ **while** (x > 0) /*4*/ { z = z * y; /*5*/ x = x - 1 /*6*/**return** 7 /*7*/



An execution path is a path though the cfg.

Examples:

- [1,3,4,7, E]
- [1,2,3,4,7, E]
- [1,2,3,4,5,6,4,7, E]
- [1,3,4,5,6,4,5,6,4,7, E]

• • • •



Coverage

Statement coverage:

Each **node** in the CFG is visited at least once.

Branch coverage:

Each **vertex** in the CFG is traversed at least once.

Decision coverage:

Like branch coverage, but specifies how often **conditions** (branching points) must be evaluated.

Path coverage: Each path in the CFG is executed at least once.



Example: Statement Coverage

if (x < 0) /*1*/ {
 x:= - x /*2*/
}
z = 1; /*3*/
while (x > 0) /*4*/ {
 z = z * y; /*5*/
 x = x - 1 /*6*/
}
return z /*7*/



- Which (minimal) path covers all statements?
 - p = [1,2,3,4,5,6,4,7,E]
- Which state generates p?

x = -1 y any z any



Example: Branch Coverage

if (x < 0) /*1*/ { x:= - x /*2*/ } z = 1; /*3*/ **while** (x > 0) /*4*/ { z = z * y; /*5*/ x = x - 1 /*6*/return z /*7*/



- ▶ Which (minimal) path covers all vertices? $p_1 = [1,2,3,4,5,6,4,7,E]$ $p_2 = [1,3,4,7,E]$
- Which states generate p_1, p_2 ?
 - $\begin{array}{ccc} p_1 & p_2 \\ x & -1 & 0 \\ y & any & any \\ z & any & any \end{array}$
- Note p₃ (x= 1) does not add coverage.

Example: Path Coverage

if (x < 0) /*1*/ { x:= - x /*2*/ } z = 1; /*3*/ **while** (x > 0) /*4*/ { z = z * y; /*5*/ x = x - 1 /*6*/return z /*7*/



How many paths are there?

Let
$$q_1 = [1,2,3]$$

 $q_2 = [1,3]$
 $p = [4,5,6]$
 $r = [4,7,E]$

then all paths are $P = (q_1|q_2) p^* r$

Number of possible paths: $|P| = 2 \cdot MaxInt - 1$



Statement, Branch and Path Coverage

Statement Coverage:

- Necessary but not sufficient, not suitable as only test approach.
- Detects dead code (code which is never executed).
- About 18% of all defects are identified.

Branch coverage:

- Least possible single approach.
- Detects dead code, but also frequently executed program parts.
- About 34% of all defects are identified.

Path Coverage:

- Most powerful structural approach;
- Highest defect identification rate (100%);
- But no practical relevance.



Decision Coverage

- Decision coverage is more then branch coverage, but less then full path coverage.
- Decision coverage requires that for all decisions in the program, each possible outcome is considered once.
- **Problem**: cannot sufficiently distinguish Boolean expressions.
 - For A || B, the following are sufficient:

А	В	Result
false	false	false
true	false	true

But this does not distinguish A || B from A; B is effectively not tested.



Decomposing Boolean Expressions

The binary Boolean operators include conjunction x \Lapha y, disjunction x \Lapha y, or anything expressible by these (e.g. exclusive disjunction, implication)

Elementary Boolean Terms

An elementary Boolean term does not contain binary Boolean operators, and cannot be further decomposed.

- An elementary term is a variable, a Boolean-valued function, a relation (equality =, orders <, ≤, >, ≥, etc.), or a negation of these.
- This is a fairly syntactic view, e.g. $x \le y$ is elementary, but $x < y \lor x = y$ is not, even though they are equivalent.
- ► In formal logic, these are called **literals**.



Simple Condition Coverage

- For each condition in the program, each elementary Boolean term evaluates to *True* and *False* at least once
- Note that this does not say much about the possible value of the condition
- Examples and possible solutions:

if (temperature > 90 && pressure > 120) { }						
True True False	<i>C2</i> True False True False	True False False				



Modified Condition Coverage

- It is not always possible to generate all possible combinations of elementary terms, e.g. 3 <= x && x < 5.</p>
- In modified (or minimal) condition coverage, all possible combinations of those elementary terms the value of which determines the value of the whole condition need to be considered.
- ► Example:

3 <= x 8	&& x < 5	5
False False True True	True False	False

Another example: (x > 1 && ! p) || p



Modified Condition/Decision Coverage

- Modified Condition/Decision Coverage (MC/DC) is required by DO-178B for Level A software.
- It is a combination of the previous coverage criteria defined as follows:
 - Every point of entry and exit in the program has been invoked at least once;
 - Every decision in the program has taken all possible outcomes at least once;
 - Every condition in a decision in the program has taken all possible outcomes at least once;
 - Every condition in a decision has been shown to independently affect that decision's outcome.



How to achieve MC/DC

- Not: Here is the source code, what is the minimal set of test cases?
- Rather: From requirements we get test cases, do they achieve MC/DC?
- Example:
 - Test cases:

Test case	1	2	3	4	5
Input A	F	F	Т	F	Т
Input B	F	Т	F	Т	F
Input C	Т	F	F	Т	Т
Input D	F	Т	F	F	F
Result Z	F	Т	F	Т	Т

Source Code: Z := (A || B) && (C || D)

Question: do test cases achieve MC/DC?

Source: Hayhurst *et al*, A Practical Tutorial on MC/DC. NASA/TM2001-210876





Summary

- (Dynamic) Testing is the controlled execution of code, and comparing the result against an expected outcome
- Testing is (traditionally) the main way for verification.
- Depending on how the test cases are derived, we distinguish white-box and black-box tests
- In black-box tests, we can consider limits and equivalence classes for input values to obtain test cases
- In white-box tests, we have different notions of coverage: statement coverage, path coverage, condition coverage, etc.
- Next week: Static testing aka. static program analysis

